



TIER 1 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

# Table of Contents



# Table of Contents

## Summary

<b>S.1</b>	<b>TIER 1 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT</b> .....	<b>S-1</b>
<b>S.2</b>	<b>CONSIDERATION OF OTHER TRANSPORTATION MODES AND FREIGHT RAIL SERVICE</b> .....	<b>S-3</b>
<b>S.3</b>	<b>AGENCY AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT</b> .....	<b>S-3</b>
<b>S.4</b>	<b>NEED FOR NEC FUTURE</b> .....	<b>S-4</b>
<b>S.5</b>	<b>STATEMENT OF PURPOSE AND NEED (CHAPTER 3)</b> .....	<b>S-5</b>
<b>S.6</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED (CHAPTER 4)</b> .....	<b>S-6</b>
S.6.1	Service Types .....	S-11
S.6.2	Technology .....	S-12
<b>S.7</b>	<b>ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES</b> .....	<b>S-12</b>
S.7.1	Transportation Effects (Chapter 5).....	S-12
S.7.2	Economic Effects (Chapter 6) .....	S-14
S.7.3	Environmental Consequences (Chapter 7).....	S-16
S.7.4	Construction Effects (Chapter 8) .....	S-23
S.7.5	Costs .....	S-23
S.7.6	Comparison of Alternatives: Summary of Findings (Chapter 9) .....	S-25
S.7.7	Phasing and Implementation (Chapter 10) .....	S-25
<b>S.8</b>	<b>NEXT STEPS</b> .....	<b>S-32</b>

## 1. Introduction

<b>1.1</b>	<b>WHAT IS THE NEC FUTURE PROGRAM?</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.2</b>	<b>THE REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR</b> .....	<b>1-2</b>
<b>1.3</b>	<b>HOW WAS THE PROGRAM INITIATED?</b> .....	<b>1-4</b>
1.3.1	Federal Railroad Administration’s Involvement.....	1-5
1.3.2	Federal Transit Administration’s Involvement .....	1-5
1.3.3	Planning Context .....	1-5
<b>1.4</b>	<b>WHAT ARE THE ALTERNATIVES UNDER CONSIDERATION?</b> .....	<b>1-7</b>
<b>1.5</b>	<b>HOW ARE FREIGHT RAILROAD OPERATIONS CONSIDERED IN NEC FUTURE?</b> .....	<b>1-8</b>
<b>1.6</b>	<b>WHAT IS A TIER 1 EIS? HOW IS IT DIFFERENT FROM OTHER EIS DOCUMENTS?</b> .....	<b>1-8</b>
<b>1.7</b>	<b>HOW WILL THE FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION SELECT A VISION FOR THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR?</b> .....	<b>1-9</b>
<b>1.8</b>	<b>WHO ARE THE STAKEHOLDERS IN THE NEC FUTURE PROGRAM?</b> .....	<b>1-9</b>
<b>1.9</b>	<b>WHO ARE POTENTIAL FUTURE PROJECT SPONSORS? HOW CAN THEY USE THIS TIER 1 EIS?</b> .....	<b>1-10</b>
<b>1.10</b>	<b>WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS AFTER PUBLICATION OF THE TIER 1 DRAFT EIS?</b> .....	<b>1-12</b>
<b>1.11</b>	<b>WHAT IS ENVISIONED AFTER THIS PROCESS IS COMPLETE?</b> .....	<b>1-12</b>
<b>1.12</b>	<b>WHAT IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT’S ROLE IN RELATED ACTIONS AFTER THE TIER 1 EIS PROCESS IS COMPLETE? ....</b>	<b>1-13</b>

## 2. Readers’ Guide

<b>2.1</b>	<b>ORGANIZATION OF THIS TIER 1 DRAFT EIS</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1.1	Main Body .....	2-1
2.1.2	Appendices .....	2-2
<b>2.2</b>	<b>KEY CONCEPTS</b> .....	<b>2-3</b>
2.2.1	Environmental Level of Detail and Analysis .....	2-3
2.2.2	Horizon Year .....	2-5
2.2.3	Existing NEC, Representative Routes, Affected Environment, and Context Area .....	2-5
2.2.4	Data Sources and Geographic Information System Tools .....	2-7
2.2.5	Alternatives Development and Terminology.....	2-7

### 3. Purpose and Need

<b>3.1</b>	<b>OVERVIEW</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF THE PURPOSE AND NEED</b>	<b>3-2</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<b>PURPOSE OF THE NEC FUTURE PROGRAM AND PROPOSED ACTION</b>	<b>3-3</b>
<b>3.4</b>	<b>THE NEED FOR PASSENGER RAIL IMPROVEMENTS</b>	<b>3-3</b>
3.4.1	Aging Infrastructure	3-5
3.4.2	Insufficient Capacity	3-7
3.4.3	Gaps in Connectivity	3-10
3.4.4	Compromised Performance	3-12
3.4.5	Lack of Resiliency	3-14
3.4.6	Environmental Sustainability	3-15
3.4.7	Economic Growth	3-16

### 4. Alternatives Considered

<b>4.1</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS OVERVIEW</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1.1	Initial Alternatives	4-3
4.1.2	Preliminary Alternatives	4-5
4.1.3	No Action and Action Alternatives	4-7
4.1.4	Balancing Near-Term and Long-Term Needs	4-9
<b>4.2</b>	<b>CHARACTERISTICS OF ACTION ALTERNATIVES</b>	<b>4-10</b>
4.2.1	Markets Served	4-11
4.2.2	Service and Station Types	4-12
4.2.3	Infrastructure Elements	4-27
4.2.4	Representative Route	4-27
4.2.5	Ancillary Facilities and Supporting Structures	4-31
<b>4.3</b>	<b>NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE</b>	<b>4-33</b>
4.3.1	Disinvestment Scenario	4-35
<b>4.4</b>	<b>ACTION ALTERNATIVES</b>	<b>4-36</b>
4.4.1	Alternative 1	4-36
4.4.2	Alternative 2	4-36
4.4.3	Alternative 3	4-36
<b>4.5</b>	<b>MARKETS AND SERVICE</b>	<b>4-38</b>
4.5.1	No Action Alternative	4-38
4.5.2	Alternative 1	4-41
4.5.3	Alternative 2	4-41
4.5.4	Alternative 3	4-42
4.5.5	Stations/Metropolitan Areas Served	4-43
<b>4.6</b>	<b>INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS</b>	<b>4-44</b>
4.6.1	Alternative 1	4-45
4.6.2	Alternative 2	4-48
4.6.3	Alternative 3	4-51
<b>4.7</b>	<b>GEOGRAPHIC DEPICTION AND ORIENTATION OF ALTERNATIVES</b>	<b>4-54</b>
4.7.1	No Action Alternative	4-54
4.7.2	Alternative 1	4-62
4.7.3	Alternative 2	4-64
4.7.4	Alternative 3	4-70
<b>4.8</b>	<b>COST</b>	<b>4-78</b>
4.8.1	Capital Costs	4-78
4.8.2	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	4-79
<b>4.9</b>	<b>PRESENTATION OF ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS</b>	<b>4-80</b>

## 5. Transportation

<b>5.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>5-1</b>
5.1.1	Approach to Transportation Effects Assessment .....	5-2
5.1.2	Transportation Effects-Assessment Methodology .....	5-3
<b>5.2</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</b> .....	<b>5-4</b>
5.2.1	Travel Trends.....	5-5
5.2.2	Metropolitan-Area to Metropolitan-Area Travel .....	5-8
5.2.3	Highway and Intercity Bus.....	5-9
5.2.4	Air.....	5-11
5.2.5	Public Transit.....	5-12
5.2.6	Passenger Rail.....	5-13
5.2.7	Freight .....	5-18
<b>5.3</b>	<b>TRANSPORTATION EFFECTS</b> .....	<b>5-19</b>
5.3.1	Corridor-wide Analysis .....	5-20
5.3.1.2	Metropolitan-Area to Metropolitan .....	5-21
5.3.2	Stations Analysis.....	5-23
<b>5.4</b>	<b>TIER 2 ASSESSMENTS</b> .....	<b>5-39</b>

## 6. Economic Effects and Growth, and Indirect Effects

<b>6.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>6-1</b>
6.1.1	Definitions .....	6-4
6.1.2	Effects-Assessment Methodology .....	6-5
<b>6.2</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</b> .....	<b>6-7</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>ECONOMIC EFFECTS AND INDIRECT EFFECTS</b> .....	<b>6-9</b>
6.3.1	Introduction .....	6-9
6.3.2	Construction Effects .....	6-10
6.3.3	Rail Sector Employment Effects .....	6-14
6.3.4	Travel Market Effects .....	6-17
6.3.5	Economic Development Response .....	6-28
6.3.6	Indirect Effects .....	6-49

## 7 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Strategies

<b>INTRODUCTION AND GUIDE TO EFFECTS ASSESSMENT</b> .....	<b>7-I</b>	
Definition of Existing NEC, Representative Route, Affected Environment, and Context Area .....	7-i	
Calculation of Environmental Consequences .....	7-v	
Approach to the Analysis of the No Action Alternative .....	7-vi	
Level of Detail and Data Considerations.....	7-viii	
How to Read this Chapter .....	7-ix	
Organization of this Chapter.....	7-x	
<b>7.1</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</b>	
7.1.1	Introduction .....	7.1-1
7.1.2	Summary of Findings.....	7.1-1
<b>7.2</b>	<b>LAND COVER</b>	
7.2.1	Introduction .....	7.2-1
7.2.2	Resource Overview.....	7.2-3
7.2.3	Affected Environment .....	7.2-5
7.2.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.2-7
7.2.5	Context Area.....	7.2-14
7.2.6	State and Regional Plan Analysis.....	7.2-14
7.2.7	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.2-15
7.2.8	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.2-16
<b>7.3</b>	<b>AGRICULTURAL LANDS (PRIME FARMLAND AND TIMBERLAND)</b>	
7.3.1	Introduction .....	7.3-1

7.3.2	Resource Overview.....	7.3-2
7.3.3	Affected Environment.....	7.3-2
7.3.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.3-4
7.3.5	Prime Farmland and Prime Timberland Land Use Plan Review.....	7.3-10
7.3.6	Context Area.....	7.3-11
7.3.7	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.3-11
7.3.8	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.3-11
<b>7.4</b>	<b>PARKLANDS AND WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS</b>	
7.4.1	Introduction.....	7.4-1
7.4.2	Resource Overview.....	7.4-2
7.4.3	Affected Environment.....	7.4-3
7.4.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.4-5
7.4.5	Context Area.....	7.4-20
7.4.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.4-22
7.4.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.4-23
<b>7.5</b>	<b>HYDROLOGIC/WATER RESOURCES</b>	
7.5.1	Introduction.....	7.5-1
7.5.2	Resource Overview.....	7.5-3
7.5.3	Affected Environment.....	7.5-4
7.5.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.5-16
7.5.5	Context Area.....	7.5-24
7.5.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.5-24
7.5.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.5-25
<b>7.6</b>	<b>ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES</b>	
7.6.1	Introduction.....	7.6-1
7.6.2	Resource Overview.....	7.6-2
7.6.3	Affected Environment.....	7.6-4
7.6.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.6-11
7.6.5	Context Area.....	7.6-37
7.6.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.6-38
7.6.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis and ESA Section 7 compliance.....	7.6-38
<b>7.7</b>	<b>GEOLOGIC RESOURCES</b>	
7.7.1	Introduction.....	7.7-1
7.7.2	Resource Overview.....	7.7-2
7.7.3	Affected Environment.....	7.7-3
7.7.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.7-4
7.7.5	Context Area.....	7.7-5
7.7.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.7-7
7.7.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.7-7
<b>7.8</b>	<b>HAZARDOUS WASTE AND CONTAMINATED MATERIAL</b>	
7.8.1	Introduction.....	7.8-1
7.8.2	Resource Overview.....	7.8-3
7.8.3	Affected Environment.....	7.8-3
7.8.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.8-11
7.8.5	Context Area.....	7.8-17
7.8.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.8-17
7.8.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.8-18
<b>7.9</b>	<b>CULTURAL RESOURCES AND HISTORIC PROPERTIES</b>	
7.9.1	Introduction.....	7.9-1
7.9.2	Resource Overview.....	7.9-5
7.9.3	Affected Environment.....	7.9-6
7.9.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.9-9
7.9.5	Context Area.....	7.9-18
7.9.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.9-19
7.9.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.9-19

<b>7.10</b>	<b>VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES</b>	
7.10.1	Introduction.....	7.10-1
7.10.2	Resource Overview.....	7.10-2
7.10.3	Affected Environment.....	7.10-2
7.10.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.10-3
7.10.5	Context Area.....	7.10-10
7.10.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.10-10
7.10.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.10-11
<b>7.11</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</b>	
7.11.1	Introduction.....	7.11-1
7.11.2	Resource Overview.....	7.11-2
7.11.3	Affected Environment.....	7.11-5
7.11.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.11-7
7.11.5	Context Area.....	7.11-15
7.11.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.11-15
7.11.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.11-16
<b>7.12</b>	<b>NOISE AND VIBRATION</b>	
7.12.1	Introduction.....	7.12-1
7.12.2	Resource Overview.....	7.12-2
7.12.3	Affected Environment.....	7.12-2
7.12.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.12-5
7.12.5	Context Area.....	7.12-20
7.12.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.12-20
7.12.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.12-20
<b>7.13</b>	<b>AIR QUALITY</b>	
7.13.1	Introduction.....	7.13-1
7.13.2	Resource Overview.....	7.13-4
7.13.3	Criteria Pollutants.....	7.13-7
7.13.4	Mobile-Source Air Toxics.....	7.13-14
7.13.5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	7.13-16
7.13.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.13-18
7.13.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.13-19
<b>7.14</b>	<b>ENERGY</b>	
7.14.1	Introduction.....	7.14-1
7.14.2	Resource Overview.....	7.14-2
7.14.3	Affected Environment.....	7.14-3
7.14.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.14-5
7.14.5	Potential Mitigation.....	7.14-6
7.14.6	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.14-7
<b>7.15</b>	<b>CLIMATE CHANGE AND ADAPTATION</b>	
7.15.1	Introduction.....	7.15-1
7.15.2	Resource Overview.....	7.15-5
7.15.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	7.15-10
7.15.4	Inundation Risks to Rail Infrastructure.....	7.15-10
7.15.5	Stations at Risk.....	7.15-32
7.15.6	Context Area.....	7.15-33
7.15.7	Extreme Temperature Effects on Rail Infrastructure.....	7.15-34
7.15.8	Conclusions.....	7.15-36
7.15.9	Potential Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies.....	7.15-38
7.15.10	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.15-39
<b>7.16</b>	<b>SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) RESOURCES</b>	
7.16.1	Section 4(f) Resources.....	7.16-1
7.16.2	Section 6(f) Resources.....	7.16-21

<b>7.17</b>	<b>ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE ASSESSMENT</b>	
7.17.1	Introduction.....	7.17-1
7.17.2	Resource Overview.....	7.17-3
7.17.3	Affected Environment.....	7.17-4
7.17.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.17-4
7.17.5	Context Area.....	7.17-9
7.17.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.17-9
7.17.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.17-9
<b>7.18</b>	<b>SAFETY</b>	
7.18.1	Introduction.....	7.18-1
7.18.2	Resource Overview.....	7.18-2
7.18.3	Affected Environment.....	7.18-3
7.18.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.18-8
7.18.5	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.18-10
7.18.6	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.18-10
<b>7.19</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEALTH EFFECTS</b>	
7.19.1	Introduction.....	7.19-1
7.19.2	Qualitative Effects of Public Health.....	7.19-1
7.19.3	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.19-3
<b>7.20</b>	<b>CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	
7.20.1	Introduction.....	7.20-1
7.20.2	Resource Overview.....	7.20-1
7.20.3	Cumulative Effects.....	7.20-3
7.20.4	Summary.....	7.20-24
7.20.5	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.20-24
<b>7.21</b>	<b>IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES</b>	
7.21.1	Introduction.....	7.21-1
7.21.2	Definition of Resource.....	7.21-1
7.21.3	Commitment of Resources.....	7.21-1
7.21.4	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.21-2
<b>8.</b>	<b>Construction Effects</b>	
<b>8.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.2.1	Construction Types.....	8-1
8.2.2	Construction Impacts on Train Operations.....	8-4
<b>8.3</b>	<b>STATION CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>8-6</b>
<b>8.4</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....</b>	<b>8-7</b>
<b>8.5</b>	<b>TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING.....</b>	<b>8-8</b>
<b>8.6</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS.....</b>	<b>8-8</b>
<b>8.7</b>	<b>TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EFFECTS.....</b>	<b>8-10</b>
<b>8.8</b>	<b>POTENTIAL MITIGATION.....</b>	<b>8-13</b>
<b>8.9</b>	<b>SUBSEQUENT TIER 2 ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>8-14</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>Evaluation of Alternatives</b>	
<b>9.1</b>	<b>A VISION FOR THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR.....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>OVERALL DECISION-MAKING PROCESS.....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
9.2.1	Presentation of Evaluation.....	9-2
9.2.2	Technology Considerations.....	9-3
<b>9.3</b>	<b>EVALUATION FRAMEWORK.....</b>	<b>9-3</b>
9.3.1	Factors and Metrics.....	9-4
<b>9.4</b>	<b>EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....</b>	<b>9-6</b>
9.4.1	Need-Based Factors.....	9-6
9.4.2	Other Factors.....	9-32



<b>9.5</b>	<b>COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES</b> .....	<b>9-40</b>
9.5.1	Discussion of Key Findings/Differences across Alternatives .....	9-40
9.5.2	Key Findings by Need .....	9-46
<b>10.</b>	<b>Phasing and Implementation</b>	
<b>10.1</b>	<b>NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE</b> .....	<b>10-2</b>
<b>10.2</b>	<b>UNIVERSAL FIRST PHASE</b> .....	<b>10-3</b>
10.2.1	Service Benefits .....	10-7
10.2.2	Transportation Benefits.....	10-9
10.2.3	Capital Cost.....	10-9
<b>10.3</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES AND CHALLENGES</b> .....	<b>10-10</b>
10.3.1	Coordinated Corridor-Wide Planning .....	10-11
10.3.2	Construction Staging and Planning Strategies .....	10-12
<b>10.4</b>	<b>DIFFERENCES IN DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION BETWEEN THE ACTION ALTERNATIVES</b> .....	<b>10-14</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>Agency and Public Involvement</b>	
<b>11.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>11-1</b>
<b>11.2</b>	<b>OVERVIEW AND APPROACH TO PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY CONSULTATION</b> .....	<b>11-1</b>
<b>11.3</b>	<b>SCOPING PROCESS</b> .....	<b>11-2</b>
11.3.1	Public Scoping Meetings.....	11-3
11.3.2	Agency Scoping Meetings.....	11-4
11.3.3	Extension of Comment Period.....	11-4
11.3.4	Comments Received .....	11-4
11.3.5	Effect of Scoping Comments on Tier 1 EIS Development .....	11-5
<b>11.4</b>	<b>AGENCY CONSULTATION</b> .....	<b>11-5</b>
11.4.1	Lead and Cooperating Agencies .....	11-6
11.4.2	Federal Agencies.....	11-7
11.4.3	Northeast Corridor Commission.....	11-7
11.4.4	State Transportation Agencies and Railroad Operators .....	11-7
11.4.5	Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Local Governments.....	11-9
11.4.6	Agency Update Meetings .....	11-10
11.4.7	Environmental Resource and Regulatory Agency Engagement Process .....	11-11
11.4.8	Section 106 Consultation.....	11-13
11.4.9	Section 4(f) Coordination .....	11-13
11.4.10	Section 7 Coordination .....	11-13
<b>11.5</b>	<b>PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (BEYOND SCOPING)</b> .....	<b>11-13</b>
11.5.1	Communication Tools.....	11-14
11.5.2	Regional Public Workshops .....	11-15
11.5.3	Public Open Houses.....	11-18
11.5.4	Rail Station Outreach.....	11-20
<b>11.6</b>	<b>OTHER STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS, BRIEFINGS, AND PRESENTATIONS</b> .....	<b>11-21</b>
11.6.1	Economic Development Workshops .....	11-21
11.6.2	Presentations to Interested Organizations.....	11-22
<b>11.7</b>	<b>TARGETED OUTREACH TO ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE POPULATIONS</b> .....	<b>11-23</b>
<b>11.8</b>	<b>DOCUMENTATION</b> .....	<b>11-25</b>
<b>12.</b>	<b>References</b>	
<b>13.</b>	<b>Glossary</b>	
<b>14.</b>	<b>List of Preparers</b>	
<b>15.</b>	<b>Index</b>	

## **Appendices**

**Appendix A, Mapping Atlas**

**Appendix B, Alternatives Documentation**

**Appendix C, Transportation**

**Appendix D, Economic Effects**

**Appendix E, Environmental Resource Documentation**

**Appendix F, Agency and Public Involvement**

**Appendix G, Section 106 Documentation**

**Appendix H, Preliminary Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations**

## Tables

Table S-1:	Number of Annual One-Way Trips (1,000s) by Service Type for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040).....	S-13
Table S-2:	Number of Annual One-Way Trips (1,000s) by Service Type for the Alternative 3 Route Options (2040) .....	S-13
Table S-3:	Environmental Resources and Limits of Affected Environment.....	S-16
Table S-4:	Summary of Key Resource Findings by Action Alternative.....	S-20
Table S-5:	Capital Costs – Action Alternatives (\$2014 billions).....	S-25
Table S-6:	Capital Costs – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 billions) (end-to-end costs) .....	S-25
Table S-7:	Summary of Alternatives – Characteristics and Evaluation Factors .....	S-27
Table 3-1:	Critical Infrastructure Needs on the Northeast Corridor.....	3-6
Table 3-2:	Peak and Off-Peak Weekday Inbound Commuter-Rail Arrivals at Penn Station New York (1990–2012) .....	3-9
Table 3-3:	Comparative Travel Times by Mode.....	3-13
Table 3-4:	Public Transportation Cost Savings (2011) .....	3-17
Table 4-1:	Initial Alternatives Building Blocks .....	4-3
Table 4-2:	Summary of Preliminary Alternatives.....	4-5
Table 4-3:	Preliminary Alternatives Evaluation Criteria .....	4-7
Table 4-4:	Rolling Stock Assumptions Used for Service Planning Purposes .....	4-16
Table 4-5:	NEC FUTURE Stations.....	4-22
Table 4-6:	Footprint Width of the Representative Route.....	4-29
Table 4-7:	Potential Intercity Rail Storage and Maintenance Facilities.....	4-32
Table 4-8:	Trains per Peak Hour, Existing and 2040 Peak-Hour Peak Direction at Select Screenlines – All Alternatives .....	4-39
Table 4-9:	Trains per Peak Hour, 2040 Peak-Hour Peak Direction at Select Screenlines – Alternative 3 Route Options .....	4-40
Table 4-10:	Existing NEC Stations (excluding Connecting Corridors) Served under the No Action Alternative .....	4-43
Table 4-11:	Station Type for No Action and Action Alternatives.....	4-44
Table 4-12:	Mapping Atlas Reference Guide .....	4-55
Table 4-13:	Capital Costs – Action Alternatives (\$2014 billions).....	4-79
Table 4-14:	Capital Costs – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 billions) (end-to-end costs) .....	4-79
Table 4-15:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues by Alternative (\$2014 millions) .....	4-79
Table 4-16:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues – Alternative 3 (\$2014 millions).....	4-80
Table 5-1:	Existing Intercity Tripmaking (1,000s) by Transportation Mode (2012).....	5-5
Table 5-2:	Daily Observed Average Commuter Behavior (2012).....	5-5
Table 5-3:	Change in Commuting Patterns (2006–2012) .....	5-6
Table 5-4:	Travel Trends (2006–2012).....	5-7
Table 5-5:	Rates of Walking to Work by Top Ten Cities Ranked (2008–2012) .....	5-8
Table 5-6:	Airport Enplanements by Airport (2006, 2012, 2040) .....	5-11
Table 5-7:	Air Travel by Airport Pair (2012).....	5-12
Table 5-8:	Ridership and Trip Length Characteristics by Rail Carrier (2012) .....	5-13

Table 5-9: Intercity Ridership by Station (2006–2012).....5-15

Table 5-10: Annual Passengers by Regional Rail Service Provider (2006 and 2012).....5-16

Table 5-11: Freight Movement by Metropolitan Area (2011).....5-18

Table 5-12: Projected Freight Growth (2011–2040).....5-19

Table 5-13: Annual Trips (1,000s) by Mode for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040).....5-20

Table 5-14: Annual Trips (1,000s) by Mode for Alternative 3 Route Options (2040).....5-20

Table 5-15: Annual Intercity Trips by Selected Metropolitan Area Pair for the No Action Alternative (total) and Action Alternatives (difference from the No Action Alternative)(2040) .....5-22

Table 5-16: Highest Intercity Frequency Alternative by Representative Station (2040).....5-26

Table 5-17: Changes in Service Types and/or Frequency by Representative Station in the Action Alternatives Compared to the No Action Alternative (2040) .....5-28

Table 5-18: Average Intercity Travel Time (Hours:Minutes) by Representative Station-Pair (2040).....5-32

Table 5-19: Average Number of Intercity Trains Per Day by Representative Station-Pair for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040) .....5-34

Table 5-20: Change in Intercity Service by Representative Station-Pair for the Action Alternatives (2040) .....5-35

Table 5-21: Annual Intercity One-Way Trips by Representative Station-Pairs for the No Action Alternative and Action Alternatives (2040) .....5-38

Table 5-22: Annual Regional Rail Trips by Selected Metropolitan Areas for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040).....5-39

Table 5-23: Daily Two-Way Trips at Select Station Screenlines for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040) .....5-39

Table 6-1: Potential Construction Employment Impacts.....6-13

Table 6-2: Potential Construction Earnings Impacts .....6-13

Table 6-3: 2040 Potential Employment Impacts for Full O&M Only .....6-16

Table 6-4: 2040 Potential Earnings Impacts for Full O&M Only .....6-16

Table 6-5: Net Change in Value of Travel Time and Travel Cost Savings by Mode for Diverted Users .....6-19

Table 6-6: 2040 Annual Intercity Value of Travel Time Savings .....6-21

Table 6-7: Annual Hours and Auto Diversions to Regional Rail Resulting in User Benefits.....6-22

Table 6-8: User Benefits of Regional Rail Net of No Action Alternative .....6-22

Table 6-9: 2040 Potential Intercity Travel Cost Impacts by Mode .....6-24

Table 6-10: Safety Benefits for VMT diverted to Intercity and Regional Rail Service in 2040.....6-25

Table 6-11: Total Value of 2040 Potential Emissions Impacts.....6-25

Table 6-12: Intercity Net Revenue Contributions.....6-28

Table 6-13: 2040 Annual Interregional and Regional Linked Rail Trips .....6-30

Table 6-14: Summary of Planning by Market .....6-38

Table 6-15: Range of Pricing/Service Type Options Serving Metropolitan Areas Daily .....6-40

Table 6-16: Daily Trains Serving Metropolitan Area (Number of Daily Trains at a Hub Station within Metropolitan Area).....6-41

Table 6-17: Number of Locations Accessible via Direct Connections.....6-42

Table 6-18: Frequency of Direct Connections .....6-43

Table 6-19: Number of Intercity (non-Regional) Routes Traversing Broad New York Region.....6-44

Table 6-20: Shortest Travel Time to New York City.....6-45

Table 6-21:	Longest Travel Time to New York City.....	6-45
Table 6-22:	Average Number of Daily Trains to New York City per Station .....	6-46
Table 6-23:	Jobs Accessible in a 30-Minute Train Travel Time.....	6-48
Table 7-1:	Limits of Affected Environment by Resource .....	7-iii
Table 7-2:	Chapter Number/Resource Area Considered.....	7-ix
Table 7-3:	Guide to Chapter 7 Resource Areas .....	7-x
Table 7.1-1:	Summary of Environmental Effects: No Action Alternative .....	7.1-3
Table 7.1-2:	Summary of Effects (totals) .....	7.1-6
Table 7.1-3:	Summary of Environmental Effects for New Segments (Alternative 1) .....	7.1-13
Table 7.1-4:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 2).....	7.1-14
Table 7.1-5:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – Washington, D.C., to New York City) .....	7.1-17
Table 7.1-6:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Central Connecticut) .....	7.1-18
Table 7.1-7:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Long Island).....	7.1-19
Table 7.1-8:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Providence).....	7.1-20
Table 7.1-9:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Worcester) .....	7.1-21
Table 7.1-10:	Summary of Environmental Effects for New Stations by County for Action Alternatives .....	7.1-23
Table 7.2-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Land Cover.....	7.2-2
Table 7.2-2:	Affected Environment: Developed and Undeveloped Land Cover by Action Alternative .....	7.2-5
Table 7.2-3:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Conversions of Developed and Undeveloped Land.....	7.2-7
Table 7.2-4:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Conversions of Developed Land.....	7.2-8
Table 7.2-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Conversions of Undeveloped Land .....	7.2-8
Table 7.2-6:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Acquisitions .....	7.2-11
Table 7.2-7:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Acquisitions of Developed Land.....	7.2-11
Table 7.2-8:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Acquisitions of Undeveloped Land.....	7.2-12
Table 7.2-9:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Potential Conversions of Undeveloped Land Cover.....	7.2-14
Table 7.3-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Agricultural Lands.....	7.3-2
Table 7.3-2:	Affected Environment: Prime Farmland Acreage.....	7.3-3
Table 7.3-3:	Affected Environment: Prime Timberland Acreage.....	7.3-4
Table 7.3-4:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Prime Farmland.....	7.3-5
Table 7.3-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Prime Farmland .....	7.3-5
Table 7.3-6:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Prime Timberland .....	7.3-6

Table 7.3-7:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Prime Timberland .....	7.3-6
Table 7.3-8:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Prime Farmland.....	7.3-9
Table 7.3-9:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Prime Timberland .....	7.3-10
Table 7.4-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers .....	7.4-2
Table 7.4-2:	Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources within the Affected Environment .....	7.4-4
Table 7.4-3:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands.....	7.4-6
Table 7.4-4:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands (Alternative 1) .....	7.4-8
Table 7.4-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands (Alternative 2) .....	7.4-9
Table 7.4-6:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Parklands .....	7.4-11
Table 7.4-7:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – Washington, D.C., to New York City) .....	7.4-12
Table 7.4-8:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Central Connecticut) .....	7.4-16
Table 7.4-9:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Long Island) .....	7.4-17
Table 7.4-10:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Providence).....	7.4-18
Table 7.4-11:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands Resources (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Worcester) .....	7.4-19
Table 7.4-12:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Parklands.....	7.4-20
Table 7.5-1:	Effect-Assessment Methodology Summary: Hydrologic/Water Resources .....	7.5-3
Table 7.5-2:	Summary of Water Resources within the Affected Environment by Action Alternative .....	7.5-5
Table 7.5-3:	Affected Environment: Water Resources Associated with Freshwater Wetlands by Action Alternative.....	7.5-6
Table 7.5-4:	Affected Environment: Navigable Waterways Crossed by the Existing NEC and Action Alternatives .....	7.5-7
Table 7.5-5:	Affected Environment: Water Resources Associated with Floodplains by Action Alternative .....	7.5-8
Table 7.5-6:	Affected Environment: Coastal Resources Associated with Saltwater Wetlands by Action Alternative.....	7.5-9
Table 7.5-7:	Affected Environment: Coastal Zone Management Act Description Intersected by Action Alternative.....	7.5-10
Table 7.5-8:	Environmental Consequences: Quantitative Impacts to Water Resources by Action Alternative .....	7.5-17
Table 7.5-9:	Environmental Consequences: Water Resources with Greatest Combined Impact within the Action Alternatives.....	7.5-19
Table 7.5-10:	Water Quality/Stormwater Regulations by Geography .....	7.5-26
Table 7.6-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Ecological Resources .....	7.6-2
Table 7.6-2:	Affected Environment: Total Ecologically Sensitive Habitats.....	7.6-4
Table 7.6-3:	Affected Environment: List of Threatened and Endangered Species.....	7.6-5
Table 7.6-4:	Affected Environment: Threatened and Endangered Species Occurrences .....	7.6-6
Table 7.6-5:	Affected Environment: Federally Managed Species’ Essential Fish Habitats.....	7.6-7

Table 7.6-6:	Affected Environment: Federally Managed Fish Species (Number of Occurrences) .....	7.6-8
Table 7.6-7:	National Marine Fisheries Service “Species of Concern” .....	7.6-10
Table 7.6-8:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Total Ecologically Sensitive Habitats (Acreage) .....	7.6-11
Table 7.6-9:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Total Ecologically Sensitive Habitats (Acreage) .....	7.6-11
Table 7.6-10:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Ecologically Sensitive Habitats (Occurrence) .....	7.6-14
Table 7.6-11:	Environmental Consequences: Ecologically Sensitive Habitats Impacts 10 Percent or Greater .....	7.6-15
Table 7.6-12:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – T&E Species (Occurrence) .....	7.6-19
Table 7.6-13:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Federally Managed Fish Species (Number of Occurrences) .....	7.6-20
Table 7.6-14:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Federally Managed Species (Number of Occurrences) .....	7.6-20
Table 7.6-15:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route Crossing Impact – Essential Fish Habitat.....	7.6-21
Table 7.6-16:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Essential Fish Habitat (Occurrence) .....	7.6-24
Table 7.6-17:	Ecologically Sensitive Habitat Acreage with Noise Effects .....	7.6-26
Table 7.6-18:	Ecologically Sensitive Habitat Acreage with Vibration Effects .....	7.6-30
Table 7.6-19:	Threatened and Endangered and Federally Managed Fish Species Occurrences with Noise Effects .....	7.6-33
Table 7.6-20:	Threatened and Endangered and Federally Managed Fish Species Occurrences with Vibration Effects .....	7.6-35
Table 7.7-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Geologic Resources .....	7.7-2
Table 7.7-2:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Geologic Resources.....	7.7-4
Table 7.7-3:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Geologic Resources.....	7.7-5
Table 7.7-4:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Geologic Resources.....	7.7-6
Table 7.8-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodological Summary: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material .....	7.8-3
Table 7.8-2:	Affected Environment: Total Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites .....	7.8-4
Table 7.8-3:	Affected Environment: National Priority List Superfund and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Actions Sites .....	7.8-5
Table 7.8-4:	Affected Environment: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites of Alternative 3 Route Options .....	7.8-6
Table 7.8-5:	High-Probability Areas: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Materials Sites .....	7.8-8
Table 7.8-6:	High-Probability Areas: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites of Alternative 3 Route Options .....	7.8-10
Table 7.8-7:	Environmental Consequences: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites within the Representative Routes of Action Alternatives .....	7.8-13
Table 7.8-8:	Environmental Consequences: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites within the Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options .....	7.8-15
Table 7.8-9:	Environmental Consequences: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Materials Sites – Stations .....	7.8-17



Table 7.9-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties .....7.9-5

Table 7.9-2: Affected Environment: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties .....7.9-7

Table 7.9-3: Counties of Interest to Federally Recognized Tribes .....7.9-8

Table 7.9-4: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Cultural Resources and Historic Properties .....7.9-11

Table 7.9-5: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Cultural Resources and Historic Properties .....7.9-12

Table 7.9-6: Environmental Consequences: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties Present in Station Locations .....7.9-16

Table 7.9-7: Context Area: National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Identified .....7.9-18

Table 7.10-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....7.10-1

Table 7.10-2: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 1 .....7.10-4

Table 7.10-3: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 2 .....7.10-4

Table 7.10-4: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (Washington, D.C., to New York City) .....7.10-6

Table 7.10-5: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Central Connecticut) .....7.10-8

Table 7.10-6: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Long Island) .....7.10-8

Table 7.10-7: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Providence) .....7.10-9

Table 7.10-8: Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Worcester) .....7.10-10

Table 7.11-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Environmental Justice Populations .....7.11-2

Table 7.11-2: Demographic Characteristics by Region .....7.11-3

Table 7.11-3: Total Population and Total Minority/Low-Income Populations by Geography .....7.11-4

Table 7.11-4: Affected Environment: Total Environmental Justice Populations by Action Alternative .....7.11-5

Table 7.11-5: Affected Environment: Total Environmental Justice Census Tracts by Action Alternative .....7.11-5

Table 7.11-6: Affected Environment: Total Environmental Justice Census Tracts for Alternative 3 .....7.11-6

Table 7.11-7: Resources Considered for Environmental Justice Assessment .....7.11-7

Table 7.11-8: Alternative 1 – Summary of Potential Effects in Counties where Environmental Justice Census Tracts Exist .....7.11-9

Table 7.11-9: Alternative 2: Summary of Potential Effects in Counties where Environmental Justice Census Tracts Exist .....7.11-10

Table 7.11-10: Alternative 3 – Washington, D.C., to New York City: Summary of Potential Impacts in Environmental Justice Census Tracts .....7.11-11

Table 7.11-11: Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford: Summary of Potential Effects in Counties where Environmental Justice Census Tracts Exist .....7.11-12

Table 7.11-12: Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston: Summary of Potential Impacts in Environmental Justice Census Tracts .....7.11-13



Table 7.11-13: Context Area: Total Environmental Justice Census Tracts by Action Alternative .....7.11-15

Table 7.12-1: Methodological Summary: Noise and Vibration .....7.12-1

Table 7.12-2: Affected Environment: Noise and Vibration – Sensitive Land Uses .....7.12-3

Table 7.12-3: Affected Environment: Noise and Vibration – Existing Levels.....7.12-4

Table 7.12-4: Environmental Consequences: Noise and Vibration – Future Conditions .....7.12-7

Table 7.12-5: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Noise – Residential Impacts.....7.12-8

Table 7.12-6: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Noise – Severe Residential Impacts .....7.12-9

Table 7.12-7: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Noise – Moderate Residential Impacts.....7.12-10

Table 7.12-8: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Vibration – Residential Impacts .....7.12-11

Table 7.12-9: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Vibration – Residential Impacts.....7.12-12

Table 7.12-10: Environmental Consequences: Affected Environment – Noise – Summary of Related Resources .....7.12-13

Table 7.12-11: Environmental Consequences: Affected Environment – Vibration – Summary of Related Resources .....7.12-16

Table 7.13-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Air Quality.....7.13-3

Table 7.13-2: National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....7.13-5

Table 7.13-3: Affected Environment: Air Quality Attainment Status by State and County.....7.13-8

Table 7.13-4: Affected Environment: Primary Source of Air Pollutants (2011).....7.13-9

Table 7.13-5: 2040 Changes in Criteria Pollutant Burdens (tons/year) – Existing Energy Profile .....7.13-11

Table 7.13-6: Renewable Energy Targets by Geography.....7.13-12

Table 7.13-7: 2040 Changes in Criteria Pollutant Burdens (tons/year) – Future Energy Profile.....7.13-13

Table 7.13-8: Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Geography (2012).....7.13-17

Table 7.13-9: 2040 Changes in CO<sub>2</sub>e (tons/year) – Existing Energy Profile .....7.13-17

Table 7.13-10: 2040 Changes in CO<sub>2</sub>e (tons/year) – Future Energy Profile.....7.13-18

Table 7.14-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Energy.....7.14-2

Table 7.14-2: Energy Consumption per Capita (2012) .....7.14-3

Table 7.14-3: U.S. Transportation Energy Use by Mode (2012).....7.14-3

Table 7.14-4: 2040 Changes in Energy Use (MMBtu/year) .....7.14-5

Table 7.14-5: Energy Intensities by Mode (2012).....7.14-6

Table 7.15-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Climate Change and Adaptation.....7.15-3

Table 7.15-2: Flooding and Extreme Temperature-Related Impacts to Rail Assets and Operations .....7.15-7

Table 7.15-3: Current Climate Conditions: Counties along the Representative Routes of the Action Alternatives at Highest Risk of Inundation .....7.15-21

Table 7.15-4: Affected Environment (Current, Mid-Century, and End-of-Century Climate Conditions): Stations at Risk of Inundation from One or More Flood Hazards by Action Alternative.....7.15-32

Table 7.15-5: Summary of Potential Climate Change Adaptation Actions for the NEC .....7.15-41

Table 7.15-6: Overview of the Federal Highway Administration’s Virtual Framework for Vulnerability Assessment’s Modules and Their Application to Tier 2 Analysis .....7.15-45

Table 7.16-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Section 4(f) Resources .....7.16-3

Table 7.16-2:	Affected Environment: Section 4(f) Resources – Parks, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges.....	7.16-7
Table 7.16-3:	Affected Environment: Section 4(f) Resources – Cultural Resources and Historic Properties .....	7.16-8
Table 7.16-4:	Environmental Consequences: Parklands, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges .....	7.16-10
Table 7.16-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Parklands, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges.....	7.16-10
Table 7.16-6:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Parklands, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges .....	7.16-11
Table 7.16-7:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Cultural and Historic Properties .....	7.16-12
Table 7.16-8:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – National Register of Historic Places-Listed Resources.....	7.16-13
Table 7.16-9:	Environmental Consequences: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties Present in Station Locations .....	7.16-15
Table 7.16-10:	Context Area: National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Identified .....	7.16-18
Table 7.16-11:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Section 6(f) Resources .....	7.16-22
Table 7.16-12:	Affected Environment: Section 6(f) Resources.....	7.16-23
Table 7.16-13:	Affected Environment: Section 6(f) Resources – Alternative 3 Route Options.....	7.16-24
Table 7.16-14:	Environmental Consequences: Section 6(f) Resources .....	7.16-26
Table 7.16-15:	Environmental Consequences: Section 6(f) Resources – Alternative 3 Route Options ..	7.16-27
Table 7.17-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Electromagnetic Fields / Electromagnetic Interference.....	7.17-2
Table 7.17-2:	Affected Environment: Locations Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Action Alternatives).....	7.17-5
Table 7.17-3:	Affected Environment: Locations Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Alternative 3 Route Options).....	7.17-6
Table 7.17-4:	Environmental Consequences: Land Uses Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Action Alternatives).....	7.17-7
Table 7.17-5:	Environmental Consequences: Land Uses Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Alternative 3).....	7.17-7
Table 7.18-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Safety.....	7.18-2
Table 7.18-2:	Affected Environment: Fatalities by Transportation Mode (2009–2013 Average) .....	7.18-3
Table 7.18-3:	Affected Environment: Passenger Accidents (2009–2013) .....	7.18-4
Table 7.18-4:	Affected Environment: Rail Accidents (2012).....	7.18-5
Table 7.18-5:	Affected Environment: Rail Fatalities (2012).....	7.18-6
Table 7.18-6:	Affected Environment: Rail Fatalities of Trespassers (2012).....	7.18-6
Table 7.18-7:	Affected Environment: Rail Accidents from Infrastructure or Equipment Failures/Malfunctions (2012) .....	7.18-7
Table 7.18-8:	Anticipated Tripmaking by Mode (2040).....	7.18-8
Table 7.20-1:	Effect-Assessment Methodology Summary: Cumulative Effects .....	7.20-2
Table 7.20-2:	Affected Environment: Existing Conditions and Trends of Key Resource Areas .....	7.20-4
Table 7.20-3:	Environmental Consequences: Cumulative Effects – Impact of Action Alternatives on Key Resource Areas .....	7.20-8

Table 7.20-4:	Environmental Consequences: Qualitative Assessment of Resources Affected by Other Transportation Projects.....	7.20-14
Table 7.20-5:	Environmental Consequences: Qualitative Assessment of Resources Affected by Non-Transportation Projects.....	7.20-19
Table 7.20-6:	Environmental Consequences: Greatest Potential for Cumulative Effects on Key Resources – Alternative 1.....	7.20-21
Table 7.20-7:	Environmental Consequences: Greatest Potential for Cumulative Effects on Key Resources – Alternative 2.....	7.20-22
Table 7.20-8:	Environmental Consequences: Greatest Potential for Cumulative Effects on Key Resources – Alternative 3.....	7.20-23
Table 8-1:	Typical Sequence of Construction Activities (Action Alternatives).....	8-9
Table 8-2:	Examples of Potential Construction-Related Effects.....	8-10
Table 9-1:	Evaluation Factors and Metrics.....	9-5
Table 9-2:	Rail Service and Practical Capacity at Select Screenlines (Peak Hour, Peak Direction).....	9-9
Table 9-3:	Passenger-Carrying Capacity at Select Screenlines (Peak Hour, Peak Direction).....	9-10
Table 9-4:	Percentage Change over No Action Alternative in Passenger Rail Trips and Miles.....	9-11
Table 9-5:	Alternative 3 Route Options, Percentage Change over No Action Alternative in Annual Intercity and Regional Trips and Passenger Miles.....	9-11
Table 9-6:	Intercity Rail Trips – Select Metropolitan Areas.....	9-13
Table 9-7:	Intercity Rail Trips at Select Metropolitan Areas – Alternative 3 Route Options.....	9-13
Table 9-8:	Total Annual Passenger Rail Trips Diverted from Other Modes (1,000s of Trips).....	9-14
Table 9-9:	Annual Reduction in Vehicle-Miles Traveled Compared to No Action Alternative (millions of vehicle-miles traveled).....	9-14
Table 9-10:	Annual Reduction in Vehicle-Miles Traveled Compared to No Action Alternative – Alternative 3 Route Options (millions of vehicle-miles traveled).....	9-14
Table 9-11:	Daily Trains Serving Airport Stations (total number of trains).....	9-15
Table 9-12:	Air-to-Rail Diversions (Annual Trips).....	9-16
Table 9-13:	Alternative 3 Route Options – Air-to-Rail Diversions (Annual Trips).....	9-16
Table 9-14:	Intercity Daily Service Volumes between Representative Station-Pairs.....	9-16
Table 9-15:	Intercity Daily Service Volumes between Representative Station-Pairs – Connecting Corridors (Number of Trains).....	9-18
Table 9-16:	Average Station-to-Station Travel Time at Selected Stations (in hours and minutes).....	9-20
Table 9-17:	Average Station-to-Station Travel Times Savings at Selected Stations – Alternative 3 Route Options (in hours and minutes).....	9-21
Table 9-18:	Intercity Travel-Time Difference between the No Action Alternative (in minutes).....	9-23
Table 9-19:	Intercity Travel-Time Difference between the No Action Alternative – Alternative 3 Route Options (in minutes).....	9-23
Table 9-20:	Old Saybrook-Kenyon New Segment – At-Grade and Trench Construction Type – Number of Acres of Representative Route at Risk from Inundation.....	9-25
Table 9-21:	New Haven-Hartford-Providence – At-Grade and Trench Construction Type – Number of Acres of Representative Route at Risk from Inundation.....	9-25
Table 9-22:	New York County, NY, to Suffolk County, MA – At-Grade and Trench Construction Type – Number of Acres of Representative Route at Risk from Inundation.....	9-26
Table 9-23:	Affected Environment (Current, Mid-Century, and End-of-Century Climate Conditions): Stations at Risk of Inundation from One or More Flood Hazards by Action Alternative.....	9-26

Table 9-24:	2040 Potential Employment Impacts in the Study Area.....	9-29
Table 9-25:	2040 Annual Travel Market Savings (in \$2014M).....	9-29
Table 9-26:	2040 Annual Travel Market Savings (in \$2014M).....	9-30
Table 9-27:	Jobs Accessible in a 30-Minute Train Travel Time.....	9-30
Table 9-28:	Jobs Accessible in a 30-Minute Train Travel Time – Alternative 3 Route Options.....	9-31
Table 9-29:	Summary of Selected Environmental Consequences by Alternative.....	9-34
Table 9-30:	Summary of Selected Environmental Consequences – Alternative 3 Route Options (end-to-end).....	9-35
Table 9-31:	Capital Cost – No Action Alternative (\$2014 millions).....	9-38
Table 9-32:	Capital Costs – Action Alternatives (\$2014 billions).....	9-38
Table 9-33:	Capital Costs – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 billions) (end-to-end costs).....	9-39
Table 9-34:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues by Alternative (\$2014 millions).....	9-39
Table 9-35:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 millions).....	9-39
Table 9-36:	Percentage of Route Miles by Construction Type – Washington, D.C., to Boston, MA.....	9-40
Table 9-37:	Summary of Alternatives – Characteristics and Evaluation Factors.....	9-41
Table 10-1:	Universal First Phase Projects – Aging Infrastructure and Major Chokepoints.....	10-4
Table 10-2:	Universal First Phase – Estimated Rail Ridership.....	10-9
Table 10-3:	Universal First Phase – Capital Costs.....	10-10
Table 11-1:	Scoping Meeting Dates and Locations.....	11-3
Table 11-2:	Meetings with State Transportation Agencies and Railroad Operators.....	11-7
Table 11-3:	Meetings with Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Local Government Agencies.....	11-10
Table 11-4:	Regional Resource Meetings.....	11-12
Table 11-5:	Newsletters.....	11-15
Table 11-6:	December Dialogues Workshops Locations.....	11-16
Table 11-7:	April Dialogues Workshops Locations.....	11-17
Table 11-8:	Summary of Feedback on Preliminary Alternative Routes at April Dialogues, 2013.....	11-18
Table 11-9:	Public Open House Locations.....	11-19
Table 11-10:	Station Pop-Up Appearances.....	11-21
Table 11-11:	Economic Development Workshop Locations.....	11-22
Table 11-12:	Presentations to Interested Organizations.....	11-23
Table 13-1:	Frequently Used Terminology.....	13-1
Table 13-2:	Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	13-18

## Figures

Figure S-1:	Study Area Map .....	S-2
Figure S-2:	Alternative 1 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	S-8
Figure S-3:	Alternative 2 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	S-9
Figure S-4:	Alternative 3 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	S-10
Figure S-5:	Percentage of Route Miles by Construction Type – Washington, D.C., to Boston, MA .....	S-24
Figure 2-1:	Study Area Map .....	2-4
Figure 2-2:	Illustration of Representative Route, Affected Environment, and Context Area .....	2-6
Figure 4-1:	Alternatives Development Process .....	4-2
Figure 4-2:	Initial Alternatives.....	4-4
Figure 4-3:	Study Area Connecting Corridors .....	4-20
Figure 4-4:	Alternative 1 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	4-47
Figure 4-5:	Alternative 2 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	4-50
Figure 4-6:	Alternative 3 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	4-53
Figure 4-7:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC through Baltimore) .....	4-57
Figure 4-8:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC through New York City).....	4-59
Figure 4-9:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC through Providence) .....	4-60
Figure 4-10:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC near Boston).....	4-61
Figure 4-11:	Alternative 1 (Existing NEC and New Segment through Baltimore).....	4-62
Figure 4-12:	Alternative 1 (Existing NEC and New Segment through New York City Metropolitan Area) .....	4-63
Figure 4-13:	Alternative 1 (Existing NEC and New Segment near Old Saybrook, CT and Kenyon, RI).....	4-64
Figure 4-14:	Alternative 2 (Existing NEC and New Segment through Maryland and Delaware) .....	4-66
Figure 4-15:	Alternative 2 (Existing NEC and New Segment through Philadelphia).....	4-67
Figure 4-16:	Alternative 2 (Existing NEC and New Segment through New York City Metropolitan Area) .....	4-68
Figure 4-17:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Baltimore) .....	4-71
Figure 4-18:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Philadelphia) .....	4-72
Figure 4-19:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through New York City Metropolitan Area) .....	4-73
Figure 4-20:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Providence) .....	4-76
Figure 4-21:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Boston) .....	4-77
Figure 5-1:	Existing Trips by Mode between Representative Metropolitan-Area Pairs (2012).....	5-9
Figure 5-2:	Highway Vehicle-Miles Traveled (2000–2012) .....	5-10
Figure 5-3:	Public Transit Annual Passengers (2006–2012).....	5-13
Figure 5-4:	Regional Rail Ridership (2006–2012).....	5-17
Figure 5-5:	Regional Rail Annual Passengers (2000–2012).....	5-17
Figure 5-6:	Intercity Trains Per Day by Representative Station for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040) .....	5-24
Figure 5-7:	Regional Rail Frequency by Representative Station for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040) .....	5-27
Figure 6-1:	Cost of Doing Business Index (2009) along the Action Alternatives.....	6-3

Figure 6-2: 2040 Interregional Passenger Rail Trips .....6-31

Figure 6-3: 2040 Regional Passenger Rail Trips .....6-31

Figure 6-4: Number of Stations of Each Category in the NEC FUTURE Station Typology .....6-36

Figure 7-1: Representative Route, Affected Environment, and Context Area ..... 7-iv

Figure 7.13-1: Relative Particulate Matter Size .....7.13-6

Figure 7.14-1: Energy Consumption by Sector .....7.14-4

Figure 7.15-1: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment along the Existing NEC at Risk.....7.15-12

Figure 7.15-2: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route along the Existing NEC at Risk .....7.15-13

Figure 7.15-3: Current Climate Conditions (Sea Level Rise Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment of the Action Alternatives at Risk .....7.15-14

Figure 7.15-4: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment of the Action Alternatives at Risk .....7.15-15

Figure 7.15-5: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment of the Action Alternatives at Risk.....7.15-16

Figure 7.15-6: Current Climate Conditions (Sea Level Rise Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Action Alternatives at Risk .....7.15-18

Figure 7.15-7: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Action Alternatives at Risk .....7.15-19

Figure 7.15-8: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Action Alternatives at Risk .....7.15-20

Figure 7.15-9: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): Old Saybrook-Kenyon New Segment – Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Existing NEC and Alternative 1 at Risk .....7.15-23

Figure 7.15-10: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge and Riverine Flooding): Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 1 and the Existing NEC at Risk (Old Saybrook-Kenyon New Segment).....7.15-24

Figure 7.15-11: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): New Haven-Hartford-Providence – Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of Action Alternative 2 and the Existing NEC at Risk.....7.15-26

Figure 7.15-12: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge and Riverine Flooding): New Haven-Hartford-Providence – Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 2 and the Existing NEC at Risk.....7.15-27

Figure 7.15-13: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding) Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of Action Alternative 3 (New York County, NY and Suffolk County, MA Route Option) .....7.15-28

Figure 7.15-14: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding): Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 3 (New York County, NY, and Suffolk County, MA, Route Option) and the Existing NEC at Risk .....7.15-29

Figure 7.15-15: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 3 (New York County, NY, and Suffolk County, MA, Route Option) and the Existing NEC at Risk .....7.15-30

Figure 7.15-16: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 3 (New York County, NY, and Suffolk County, MA, Route Option) and the Existing NEC at Risk .....7.15-31

Figure 7.15-17: Example of Rail Buckle from Extreme Heat.....7.15-34

---

Figure 7.15-18: Average Annual Number of Days Equal to or Above 95°F, by Climate Scenario.....	7.15-37
Figure 8-1: Percentage of Route Miles by Construction Type – Washington, D.C., to Boston, MA .....	8-2
Figure 8-2: Tunnel Boring Machine .....	8-7
Figure 8-3: Gantry Crane System for Aerial Structure or Major Bridge Construction .....	8-7
Figure 8-4: Typical New Track Construction Machine .....	8-8
Figure 9-1: Number of Stations of Each Category in the NEC FUTURE Station Typology.....	9-33